

Remarks on the BRST cohomology of supersymmetric gauge theories

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 3703

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/35/16/309>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.106

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 10:02

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Remarks on the BRST cohomology of supersymmetric gauge theories

V E R Lemes¹, M Picariello^{2,3}, M S Sarandy¹ and S P Sorella¹

¹ UERJ – Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rua São Francisco Xavier 524, 20550-013, Maracanã, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

² Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria 16, 20143 Milano, Italy

³ INFN-Milano, Italy

Received 19 February 2002

Published 12 April 2002

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/35/3703

Abstract

The supersymmetric version of the descent equations following from the Wess–Zumino consistency condition is discussed. A systematic framework in order to solve them is proposed.

PACS numbers: 11.15.–q, 11.30.–j

1. Introduction

One of the most attractive properties of supersymmetric quantum field theories is their softer ultraviolet behaviour. Supersymmetry has allowed us to establish several nonrenormalization theorems [1], which have provided examples of gauge theories with vanishing beta function to all orders of perturbation theory.

Recently, a criterion of general applicability for the ultraviolet finiteness has been proved [2]. The result allows us to give a purely cohomological algebraic characterization of the ultraviolet behaviour of gauge field theories, including the supersymmetric models as well. Moreover, it also covers the case of theories whose beta function receives only one-loop contribution, as occurs for the $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories in four dimensions.

The aforementioned criterion makes use of the set of descent equations stemming from the Wess–Zumino consistency condition. In the case of supersymmetric theories it turns out that these equations take a peculiar form, leading to a system of nonstandard equations which highly constrains the possible invariant counterterms and anomalies allowed by the gauge invariance and by the global supersymmetry. These equations have been proved to be very useful in the algebraic proof of the ultraviolet finiteness properties of both $N = 2$ [3] and $N = 4$ supersymmetric gauge theories [4, 5].

It is worth mentioning that, unlike the nonsupersymmetric case, where systematic procedures are available in order to solve the descent equations [6, 7], in the supersymmetric case the task is considerably more difficult, even when a superspace formulation is available [8].

The aim of this paper is to pursue the investigation of the structure of the descent equations for supersymmetric gauge theories by providing a systematic framework to solve them.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 a short review of the quantization of the supersymmetric gauge theories is given. In section 3 the supersymmetric descent equations are discussed and a way to solve them is presented. In section 4 the example of the $N = 1$ supersymmetric Yang–Mills in four dimensions is worked out.

2. Algebraic structure of supersymmetric gauge theories

A brief account of the quantization of the supersymmetric gauge theories in the Wess–Zumino gauge is given here, following the procedure outlined in [4, 9–11]. Let us start by considering a supersymmetric gauge theory described by the classical action $\Sigma_{\text{inv}}(\Phi)$, where Φ denotes collectively the gauge and matter fields. In the following we shall refer to renormalizable gauge theories in four dimensions, the generalization to other dimensions being straightforward.

In the absence of central charges and adopting the Wess–Zumino gauge, the supersymmetry algebra has the typical form

$$\left\{ Q_\alpha^i, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^j \right\} = -2i\delta^{ij}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu\partial_\mu + (\text{gauge transformations}) + (\text{equations of motion}) \quad (1)$$

$$\left\{ Q_\alpha^i, Q_\beta^j \right\} = \left\{ \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}^j \right\} = (\text{gauge transformations}) + (\text{equations of motion})$$

where $Q_\alpha^i, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^j$ are the supersymmetric charges, with $\alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2$ being the spinor indices and $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ labelling the number of supersymmetries.

The action $\Sigma_{\text{inv}}(\Phi)$ is left invariant by the charges $Q_\alpha^i, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^j$. It is also required to be invariant under gauge transformations, which give rise to the nilpotent BRST operator s when the local gauge parameter is replaced by the Faddeev–Popov ghost. In order to properly quantize the theory, one has to introduce the gauge-fixing and the antifield terms in the action. The standard procedure in order to take into account both BRST and supersymmetry invariance, is to collect them into a unique generalized BRST operator Q [4, 9–11]. In addition to the Faddeev–Popov ghost, the introduction of constant ghosts $\varepsilon_i^\alpha, \bar{\varepsilon}_j^{\dot{\alpha}}$ corresponding to global supersymmetry is required. The resulting generalized operator Q is found to be

$$Q = s + \varepsilon_i^\alpha Q_\alpha^i + \bar{\varepsilon}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^j. \quad (2)$$

The action $\Sigma_{\text{inv}}(\Phi)$ is invariant under the Q -transformations which, due to algebra (1), turn out to be nilpotent only up to equations of motion and spacetime translations, namely

$$Q^2 = \varepsilon^\mu\partial_\mu + (\text{equations of motion}) \quad (3)$$

with $\varepsilon^\mu = -2i\varepsilon_i^\alpha\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu\bar{\varepsilon}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}$.

Hence, the complete classical action Σ is given by

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_{\text{inv}}(\Phi) + \Sigma_{\text{gf}}(\Phi, \pi, c, \bar{c}) + \Sigma_{\text{ext}}(\Phi, \Phi^*, c, c^*) \quad (4)$$

where $\Sigma_{\text{gf}}(\Phi, \pi, c, \bar{c})$ is the gauge-fixing action depending on the gauge and matter fields Φ , the Lagrange multiplier π , the Faddeev–Popov ghost c and antighost \bar{c} . The term $\Sigma_{\text{ext}}(\Phi, \Phi^*, c, c^*)$ denotes the antifield action, which is constructed by coupling the nonlinear Q -transformations to external fields Φ^* and c^* associated, respectively, with Φ and c , i.e.

$$\Sigma_{\text{ext}}(\Phi, \Phi^*, c, c^*) = \int d^4x \left(\sum_\Phi \Phi^* Q\Phi + c^* Qc + (\text{terms quadratic in } \Phi^*, c^*) \right). \quad (5)$$

As is well known, the terms quadratic in the external fields (Φ^*, c^*) are needed in order to account for the on-shell nilpotency of the generalized operator Q [4, 9–11]. The invariance of

the action $\Sigma_{\text{inv}}(\Phi)$ under Q may now be translated into the classical Slavnov–Taylor identity, whose typical form is [3, 5, 12]

$$S(\Sigma) = \varepsilon^\mu \Delta_\mu^{\text{cl}} \quad (6)$$

with

$$S(\Sigma) = \int d^4x \left(\sum_\Phi \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Phi^*} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Phi} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta c^*} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta c} + Q\bar{c} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{c}} + Q\pi \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \pi} \right). \quad (7)$$

It is worth underlining that the breaking term Δ_μ^{cl} is a classical breaking, as it turns out to be linear in the quantum fields. As such, it will not be affected at the quantum level [6].

Introducing the so-called linearized Slavnov–Taylor operator \mathcal{B}_Σ ,

$$\mathcal{B}_\Sigma = \int d^4x \left(\sum_\Phi \left(\frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Phi^*} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Phi} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi^*} \right) + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta c^*} \frac{\delta}{\delta c} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta c} \frac{\delta}{\delta c^*} + Q\bar{c} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}} + Q\pi \frac{\delta}{\delta \pi} \right) \quad (8)$$

it follows that

$$\mathcal{B}_\Sigma \mathcal{B}_\Sigma = \varepsilon^\mu \partial_\mu \quad (9)$$

which means that \mathcal{B}_Σ is nilpotent only modulo a total derivative. Of course, this property follows from the supersymmetric structure of the theory. Moreover, the operator \mathcal{B}_Σ is strictly nilpotent when acting on the space of the integrated local functionals of the fields, antifields and their derivatives. This is precisely the functional space to which all invariant counterterms and anomalies belong.

3. The supersymmetric descent equations

In order to discuss the structure of the supersymmetric descent equations, let us begin by considering the Wess–Zumino consistency condition for the invariant counterterms which can be freely added to any order of the perturbation theory, namely

$$\mathcal{B}_\Sigma \int d^4x \Omega^0 = 0 \quad (10)$$

where Ω^0 has the same quantum numbers as the classical Lagrangian, i.e. it is a local polynomial of dimension 4 and vanishing Faddeev–Popov charge. The integrated consistency condition (10) can be translated at the local level as

$$\mathcal{B}_\Sigma \Omega_\mu^0 = \partial^\mu \Omega_\mu^1 \quad (11)$$

where Ω_μ^1 is a local polynomial of Faddeev–Popov charge 1 and dimension 3. Applying now the operator \mathcal{B}_Σ to both sides of (11) and making use of equation (9), one obtains the condition

$$\partial^\mu (\mathcal{B}_\Sigma \Omega_\mu^1 - \varepsilon_\mu \Omega^0) = 0 \quad (12)$$

which, due to the algebraic Poincaré lemma [6], implies

$$\mathcal{B}_\Sigma \Omega_\mu^1 = \varepsilon_\mu \Omega^0 + \partial^\nu \Omega_{[\nu\mu]}^2 \quad (13)$$

for some local polynomial $\Omega_{[\nu\mu]}^2$ antisymmetric in the Lorentz indices μ, ν and with Faddeev–Popov charge 2. This procedure can be easily iterated, yielding the following set of descent equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_\Sigma \Omega^0 &= \partial^\mu \Omega_\mu^1 \\ \mathcal{B}_\Sigma \Omega_\mu^1 &= \partial^\nu \Omega_{[\nu\mu]}^2 + \varepsilon_\mu \Omega^0 \\ \mathcal{B}_\Sigma \Omega_{[\mu\nu]}^2 &= \partial^\rho \Omega_{[\rho\mu\nu]}^3 + \varepsilon_\mu \Omega_\nu^1 - \varepsilon_\nu \Omega_\mu^1 \\ \mathcal{B}_\Sigma \Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho]}^3 &= \partial^\sigma \Omega_{[\sigma\mu\nu\rho]}^4 + \varepsilon_\mu \Omega_{[\nu\rho]}^2 + \varepsilon_\rho \Omega_{[\mu\nu]}^2 + \varepsilon_\nu \Omega_{[\rho\mu]}^2 \\ \mathcal{B}_\Sigma \Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}^4 &= \varepsilon_\mu \Omega_{[\nu\rho\sigma]}^3 - \varepsilon_\sigma \Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho]}^3 + \varepsilon_\rho \Omega_{[\sigma\mu\nu]}^3 - \varepsilon_\nu \Omega_{[\rho\sigma\mu]}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It should be observed that these equations are of an unusual type, as the cocycles with lower Faddeev–Popov charge appear in the equations with higher Faddeev–Popov charge, turning system (14) highly nontrivial. We also remark that the last equation for $\Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}^4$ is not homogeneous—a property which strongly constrains the possible solutions. Equations (14) immediately generalize to possible anomalies and cocycles with arbitrary Faddeev–Popov charge. To some extent, system (14) displays a certain similarity with the descent equations in $N = 1$ superspace [8]. Actually, it is possible to solve equations (14) in a rather direct way by making use of the supersymmetric structure of the theory. This goal is achieved by introducing an operator \mathcal{W}_μ which, together with the linearized Slavnov–Taylor operator \mathcal{B}_Σ , gives rise to the algebra

$$\{\mathcal{W}_\mu, \mathcal{B}_\Sigma\} = \partial_\mu \quad \{\mathcal{W}_\mu, \mathcal{W}_\nu\} = 0.$$

The operator \mathcal{W}_μ was introduced first in the case of topological field theories [13, 14], and subsequently in the case of extended supersymmetry [2, 5]. In the next section the explicit form of \mathcal{W}_μ for the case of $N = 1$ gauge theories will be given.

Once the operator \mathcal{W}_μ has been introduced, it can be used as a climbing operator for the descent equations (14). It turns out in fact that, provided an explicit form for $\Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}^4$ is available, a solution of the system is obtained by repeated applications of \mathcal{W}_μ on $\Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}^4$, according to

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^0 &= \frac{1}{4!} \mathcal{W}^\mu \mathcal{W}^\nu \mathcal{W}^\rho \mathcal{W}^\sigma \Omega_{[\sigma\rho\nu\mu]}^4 & \Omega_\mu^1 &= \frac{1}{3!} \mathcal{W}^\nu \mathcal{W}^\rho \mathcal{W}^\sigma \Omega_{[\sigma\rho\nu\mu]}^4 \\ \Omega_{[\mu\nu]}^2 &= \frac{1}{2!} \mathcal{W}^\rho \mathcal{W}^\sigma \Omega_{[\sigma\rho\mu\nu]}^4 & \Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho]}^3 &= \mathcal{W}^\sigma \Omega_{[\sigma\mu\nu\rho]}^4. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We are thus left with the characterization of $\Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}^4$. This point can be resolved by introducing a new operator \mathcal{F}_Σ defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_\Sigma = \mathcal{B}_\Sigma - \varepsilon^\mu \mathcal{W}_\mu. \quad (16)$$

Unlike \mathcal{B}_Σ , the new operator \mathcal{F}_Σ has the remarkable property of being strictly nilpotent, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_\Sigma \mathcal{F}_\Sigma = 0 \quad \{\mathcal{W}_\mu, \mathcal{F}_\Sigma\} = \partial_\mu.$$

In particular, thanks to (15), the last equation for $\Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}^4$ in (14) can be cast in the form of a homogeneous equation

$$\mathcal{F}_\Sigma \Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}^4 = 0. \quad (17)$$

This means that $\Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}^4$ can be obtained from the knowledge of the cohomology of the nilpotent operator \mathcal{F}_Σ , for which standard techniques are available [7]. This gives us a systematic framework for solving the descent equations in the supersymmetric case.

4. The example of $N = 1$ super Yang–Mills theory

The $N = 1$ super Yang–Mills action $S^{N=1}$ in the Wess–Zumino gauge is given by

$$S^{N=1} = \frac{1}{g^2} \text{Tr} \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - i \lambda^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu D_\mu \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^2 \right) \quad (18)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu]$ is the field strength, λ^α and $\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}}$ are two-component spinors and \mathcal{D} is an auxiliary scalar field, introduced for the off-shellness closure of the supersymmetric algebra.

The action $S^{N=1}$ is invariant under both BRST and supersymmetry transformations. Following the general procedure, we shall collect the BRST differential s and the supersymmetry generators $(Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}})$ into an extended operator Q ,

$$Q = s + \varepsilon^\alpha Q_\alpha + \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}} \quad (19)$$

where ε^α and $\bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ are global ghosts. The operator Q acts on the fields as

$$\begin{aligned} QA_\mu &= -D_\mu c + \varepsilon^\alpha \sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} + \lambda^\alpha \sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\beta}} \\ Q\lambda^\beta &= \{c, \lambda^\beta\} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^\alpha (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_\alpha^\beta F_{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon^\beta \mathcal{D} \\ Q\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} &= \{c, \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}}\} + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}} F_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\beta}} \mathcal{D} \\ Q\mathcal{D} &= [c, \mathcal{D}] - i\varepsilon^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu D_\mu \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} + iD_\mu \lambda^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\beta}} \\ Qc &= c^2 + 2i\varepsilon^\alpha \sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\beta}} A^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

For the complete gauge-fixed action Σ we have

$$\Sigma = S^{N=1} + S_{\text{gf}} + S_{\text{ext}} \quad (21)$$

where S_{gf} is the gauge-fixing term in the Landau gauge and S_{ext} contains the coupling of the nonlinear transformations $Q\Phi_i$ to the antifields $\Phi_i^* = (A_\mu^*, c^*, \lambda^{\alpha*}, \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^*, \mathcal{D}^*)$. They are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{gf}} &= \text{Tr} \int d^4x Q(\bar{c} \partial A) \\ S_{\text{ext}} &= \text{Tr} \int d^4x (A_\mu^* Q A^\mu + c^* Qc + \lambda^{\alpha*} Q\lambda_\alpha + \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^* Q\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \mathcal{D}^* Q\mathcal{D}) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

with $Q\bar{c} = b$ and $Qb = -2i\varepsilon^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\beta}} \partial_\mu \bar{c}$.

As usual, \bar{c} , b denote the antighost and the Lagrange multiplier. The operator Q turns out to be nilpotent only up to spacetime translations:

$$Q^2 = -2i\varepsilon^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\beta}} \partial_\mu. \quad (23)$$

The complete action Σ satisfies the following Slavnov–Taylor identity:

$$S(\Sigma) = -2i\varepsilon^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\beta}} \Delta_\mu^{\text{cl}} \quad (24)$$

where

$$S(\Sigma) = \text{Tr} \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Phi_i^*} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Phi_i} + b \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{c}} - 2i\varepsilon^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\beta}} \partial_\mu \bar{c} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta b} \right) \quad (25)$$

and the classical breaking Δ_μ^{cl} is

$$\Delta_\mu^{\text{cl}} = \text{Tr} \int d^4x (-A^{*\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu + c^* \partial_\mu c + \lambda^{\alpha*} \partial_\mu \lambda_\alpha + \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^* \partial_\mu \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \mathcal{D}^* \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}). \quad (26)$$

From equations (24) and (25) it follows that the linearized operator B_Σ defined as

$$B_\Sigma = \text{Tr} \int d^4x \left(\frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Phi_i^*} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi_i} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Phi_i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi_i^*} + b \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}} - 2i\varepsilon^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\beta}} \partial_\mu \bar{c} \frac{\delta}{\delta b} \right) \quad (27)$$

is nilpotent modulo a total spacetime derivative, namely

$$B_\Sigma B_\Sigma = \varepsilon^\alpha d_\alpha \quad (28)$$

with the operator d_α given by

$$d_\alpha = -2i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{\beta}} \partial_\mu. \quad (29)$$

The integrated cohomology of B_Σ is characterized by a consistency condition of the kind (10) which, in the present case, can be written as [15]

$$B_\Sigma \Omega^0 = d_\alpha \Omega^{1\alpha} \quad B_\Sigma \Omega^{1\alpha} = d_\beta \Omega^{2[\beta\alpha]} + \varepsilon^\alpha \Omega^0 \quad B_\Sigma \Omega^{2[\beta\alpha]} = \varepsilon^\beta \Omega^{1\alpha} - \varepsilon^\alpha \Omega^{1\beta}. \quad (30)$$

It should be noted that the presence of the operator d_α in the first equation of (30) is due to the supersymmetric character of the theory, followed by observing that in the nonsupersymmetric case the pure Yang–Mills Lagrangian $\text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu})$ is pointwise invariant.

Defining now the climbing operator \mathcal{W}_α

$$\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon^\alpha}, B_\Sigma \right] \quad (31)$$

it is easily verified that

$$\{\mathcal{W}_\alpha, B_\Sigma\} = d_\alpha \quad \{\mathcal{W}_\alpha, \mathcal{W}_\beta\} = 0. \quad (32)$$

As discussed in the previous section, the next step is the introduction of the operator \mathcal{F}_Σ :

$$\mathcal{F}_\Sigma = B_\Sigma - \varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{W}_\alpha. \quad (33)$$

Accordingly, the last equation of (30) reads

$$\mathcal{F}_\Sigma \Omega^{2[\beta\alpha]} = 0. \quad (34)$$

Furthermore, up to trivial exact cocycles, $\Omega^{2[\beta\alpha]}$ is found to be

$$\Omega^{2[\beta\alpha]} = \varepsilon^{\beta\alpha} \text{Tr} \lambda^\nu \lambda_\nu. \quad (35)$$

The higher cocycles are obtained by applying repeatedly the operator \mathcal{W}_α on $\Omega^{2[\beta\alpha]}$:

$$\Omega^0 = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}_\alpha \mathcal{W}_\beta \Omega^{2[\beta\alpha]} \quad \Omega^{1\alpha} = \mathcal{W}_\beta \Omega^{2[\beta\alpha]}. \quad (36)$$

Acting now with $\partial/\partial g$ on both sides of the Slavnov–Taylor identity (24) and observing that the linear breaking term Δ_μ^{cl} does not depend on the coupling constant g , we get the condition

$$\mathcal{B}_\Sigma \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial g} = 0 \quad (37)$$

which shows that $\partial \Sigma/\partial g$ is invariant under the action of \mathcal{B}_Σ . In fact $\partial \Sigma/\partial g$ identifies the cohomology of \mathcal{B}_Σ in the sector of the integrated polynomials with dimension 4 and ghost number zero, belonging to the same cohomology class of $\int d^4x \Omega^0$.

From equations (36), the usefulness of the operator \mathcal{W}_μ now becomes apparent. In particular, it allows us to establish the following relation:

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial g} = \frac{1}{4g^3} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{W}_\alpha \mathcal{W}_\beta \text{Tr} \int d^4x \lambda^\nu \lambda_\nu. \quad (38)$$

Equation (38) implies that the origin of the action of $N = 1$ super Yang–Mills can be traced back to the gauge invariant local polynomial $\text{Tr} \int d^4x \lambda^\nu \lambda_\nu$. This relationship has recently been pointed out in [15].

The construction of the nilpotent operator \mathcal{F}_Σ of equation (16) is easily generalized to the cases of $N = 2$ and $N = 4$ gauge theories, so that the analogue of equation (38) can be worked out from the knowledge of its cohomology, a representative of which has been given in [3, 5].

5. Conclusion

The structure of the descent equations for supersymmetric gauge theories has been discussed. Due to the supersymmetry algebra (1), these equations are of an unusual type, a property which makes their analysis rather cumbersome. However, it has been shown that a suitable climbing operator \mathcal{W}_μ can be introduced by making use of the proper supersymmetric algebra. Provided the solution $\Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}^4$ of the last equation of system (14) is available, a solution of the whole system is obtained by repeated applications of \mathcal{W}_μ on $\Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}^4$. Concerning the characterization of $\Omega_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]}^4$, we have been able to prove that it belongs to the cohomology of the nilpotent operator to \mathcal{F}_Σ of equation (16). As a consequence, it can be determined by standard cohomology arguments [6, 7], thus providing a systematic framework for analysing the supersymmetric version of the descent equations.

Acknowledgments

The Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico CNPq-Brazil, the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (Faperj), the SR2-UERJ and the MIUR (Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca) are acknowledged for financial support. MP is grateful to the Theoretical Physics Department of UERJ for kind hospitality.

References

- [1] Sohnius M F and West P C 1981 *Phys. Lett. B* **100** 245
Mandelstam S 1983 *Nucl. Phys. B* **213** 149
Grisaru M and Siegel W 1982 *Nucl. Phys. B* **201** 292
Lucchesi C, Piguet O and Sibold K 1988 *Helv. Phys. Acta* **61** 321
Kraus E 2002 *Nucl. Phys. B* **620** 55
- [2] Lemes V E R, Sarandy M S, Sorella S P, Ventura O S and Vilar L C Q 2001 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 9485
- [3] Blasi A, Lemes V E R, Maggiore N, Sorella S P, Tanzini A, Ventura O S and Vilar L C Q 2000 *J. High Energy Phys.* JHEP05(2000)039
- [4] White P L 1992 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9** 413
- [5] Lemes V E R, Sarandy M S, Sorella S P, Tanzini A and Ventura O S 2001 *J. High Energy Phys.* JHEP01(2001)016
- [6] Piguet O and Sorella S P 1995 *Algebraic Renormalization (Monograph Series m28)* (Berlin: Springer)
- [7] Barnich G, Brandt F and Henneaux M 2000 *Phys. Rep.* **338** 439
- [8] Piguet O and Sibold K 1982 *Nucl. Phys. B* **197** 257
Piguet O and Sibold K 1982 *Nucl. Phys. B* **197** 272
Piguet O and Sibold K 1984 *Nucl. Phys. B* **247** 484
Piguet O and Sibold K 1986 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **4** 913
- [9] Maggiore N 1995 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10** 3781
Maggiore N 1995 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10** 3973
- [10] Maggiore N, Piguet O and Wolf S 1996 *Nucl. Phys. B* **458** 403; Erratum: *Nucl. Phys. B* **469** (1996) 513
- [11] Brandt F, Henneaux M and Wilch A 1996 *Phys. Lett. B* **387** 320
- [12] Fucito F, Tanzini A, Vilar L C Q, Ventura O S, Sasaki C A G and Sorella S P 1997 Lectures given at *1st School on Field Theory and Gravitation (Vitoria, Brazil, 15–19 April 1997)* (hep-th/9707209)
- [13] Delduc F, Gieres F and Sorella S P 1989 *Phys. Lett. B* **225** 367
- [14] Guadagnini E, Maggiore M and Sorella S P 1991 *Phys. Lett. B* **255** 65
- [15] Ulker K 2001 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **16** 881
Ulker K 2001 $N = 1$ SYM action and BRST cohomology *Preprint* hep-th/0108062